### **Skellam Mixture Mechanism: A Novel Approach to Federated Learning** with Differential Privacy





# **Ergute Bao**<sup>‡</sup>, Yizheng Zhu<sup>‡</sup>, Xiaokui Xiao<sup>‡</sup>, Yin Yang<sup>§</sup>, Beng Chin Ooi<sup>‡</sup>, Benjamin Tan<sup>\*</sup> and Khin Mi Mi Aung<sup>\*</sup>



عضوفن مؤسسة قطر Member of Qatar Foundation



### **Federated Learning with SGD**



 $\bullet \bullet$ 





 $\bullet \bullet$ 







Language model, Image classification, SVM, etc..

### Privacy concerns

#### During the training process, gradients leak the training dataset [Zhu et al. ICML' 18].















 $g_i$ 



#### The server can be untrusted





### Privacy concerns

# Carlini et al. Usenix' 19].



Final model parameters remember the training dataset [Shokri et al. S&P' 18,

#### Membership of the dataset (e.g. dataset of a rare disease)





### Goal

- A mechanism that protects individual privacy
- throughout the *training process* (Secure Aggregation)
- for the final model parameters (Differential Privacy)

Model accuracy should approach that in the centralized setting, which is seen as the **lower bound** for the distributed setting.

ividual privacy ess (Secure Aggregation) rs (Differential Privacy)

## **Differential Privacy [DMNS. TCC' 06]**

For any neighboring input databases  $D_1$  and  $D_2$ , if mechanism  $\mathscr{K}$ 's output distributions are similar, then we say mechanism  $\mathscr{K}$  is differentially private.

The similarity is quantified by  $\epsilon$ .



Credit: Cynthia Dwork <u>https://slideplayer.com/slide/6661339/</u>

Ratio bounded by  $exp(\epsilon)$ 

output

### **Applying DP to FL with SGD**

#### Party *i*:

- Scale of noise:  $\sigma = ||g_i||_2/\epsilon$ .



#### • Injects Gaussian noise $z_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_d)$ to original $g_i$ . [Abadi et al. CCS' 16]



## **Applying DP to FL with SGD**

#### Participant *i*:

- Scale of noise:  $\sigma = ||g_i||_2/\epsilon$ .

Calibrating noise to the sensitivity of data [DMNS. TCC '06]



### • Injects Gaussian noise $z_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_d)$ to original $g_i$ . [Abadi et al. CCS' 16]

# To hide the private gradient, the noise must be as large as the gradient itself.









### **Trade-off between privacy and accuracy**

• The amount of each individual noise determines the privacy level  $\epsilon$ . • The amount of overall noise determines the model accuracy.



### Secure Aggregation

### SecAgg [BIKMMPRSS, CCS' 17] leverages MPC,

- Computing the sum of private inputs.
- Ensuring that the input is not revealed to any party (including the server).





#### Think of SecAgg as a black-box function for securely computing the sum of inputs.







### **Differential Privacy with Secure Aggregation**

SecAgg amplifies privacy for individual participants: Assume that each participant adds a little i.i.d. Gaussian.



• Sum of Gaussian variates is a larger Gaussian (privacy amplification by n).

### **Differential Privacy with Secure Aggregation**

Challenge brought by SecAgg:

- Outputs of participants must be integers (required by MPC). • We can not directly inject Gaussian noise to the real-valued gradients.
- Motivate new DP mechanisms: [Agarwal et al. NeurIPS' 18], [KLS, ICML' 21], [AKL, NeurIPS' 22]

### **Existing Solutions**

#### Party *i*

Pre-process the gradient  $g_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . For each real-valued parameter, say a + b (e.g. 4.55 = 4 + 0.55) • With probability b, round to a + 1• With probability (1 - b), round to a Inject integer-valued noise to processed gradient

Expectation of output is (a + b)

*a* : integer part *b* : fractional part



### **Existing Solutions**

#### Party *i*

- Pre-process the gradient  $g_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . For each real-valued parameter, say a + b (e.g. 4.55 = 4 + 0.55) • With probability b, round to a + 1 (cause sensitivity increase) • With probability (1 - b), round to a Inject integer-valued noise to processed gradient (of larger norm) • The noise is of scale  $(\|g_i\|_2 + \sqrt{d})/\epsilon$
- After rounding, gradients can be more different (requires more noise)

*a* : integer part *b* : fractional part

• 0.0001 could be round to 1, hence the *rounded* sensitivity is 1 instead of 0.0001.



### Noise Overhead

- Common scenarios:  $||g_i||_2 \ll \sqrt{d}$ .
- Large DP noise drowns the signal of gradients.
- For integer representation using limited bits, large noise leads to overflow.

### **Our solution: intuition**

#### We observe:

- Stochastic rounding is random.
- Differential privacy needs random noise.

#### We should leverage randomness in rounding for DP!!!

### **Building Block 1: Skellam Noise**

- The difference of two independent Poisson variates. • Looks like an 'integer-valued' Gaussian.
- Hence, it works like a Gaussian for DP (we improve existing analysis).



### **Building Block 2: Mixture of integer noises**



# • With probability (1 - b), sample *integer* noise *shifted* by *a*



## **Building Block 2: Mixture of integer noises**

Consider input a (integer part) + b (fraction part).

Inject mixture of noises

No sensitivity overhead, which means tighter privacy guarantee!!

Pre-process the input  $g_i$ • With probability b, round to a + 1 (cause sensitivity increase) • With probability (1 - b), round to a • The noise is of scale  $(||g_i||_2 + \sqrt{d})/\epsilon$ 

• With probability b, sample *integer* noise *shifted* by a + 1• With probability (1 - b), sample *integer* noise *shifted* by a

Inject integer-valued noise to processed gradient (of larger norm)





### **Challenge: Privacy Analysis**

Analyze the Rényi divergence of two mixtures of Skellam distributions (more details in our paper):

- Both mixtures consist of  $n \cdot 2^d$  individual d-dimensional Skellam components. • Reduction to two 1-dimensional Skellam components. • The mixtures & individuals of Skellam distributions are not well understood. • New tools for analyzing mixture of Skellams & individual Skellam.

### **Experiment on MNIST**





#### existing solutions. – 🔶 – Skellam $-\Delta - DDG$ - ↔ cpSGD 100 test accuracy % 90 80 70 60 50 3 5 2 $\epsilon$ $\epsilon$ m = 10



### Conclusion

- Existing solutions for Federated Learning with DP incur large sensitivity & noise overhead, causing utility degradation.
- We propose SMM that directly operates on real-valued input, and outputs an **unbiased & integer-valued & private** estimate.
- We develop new tools for analyzing mixture and individual Skellam noises for DP.